

UNITED TATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COI

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 07/09/97 R 08/890,490 ANTHONY FENN F3141(V) **EXAMINER** IM22/0927 PATENT DEPARTMENT NESSLER, C UNILEVER UNITED STATES INC PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 45 RIVER ROAD EDGEWATER NJ 07020 1761 DATE MAILED: 09/27/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 08/890,490

Applicant(s)

Fenn et al

Examiner

Cynthia L. Nessler

Group Art Unit 1761



TH	IE PERIC	DD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) 💢	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	٠ _	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	date on determin	ension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ning the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be added from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
X		int's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on <u>Sep 14, 1999</u> (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
		s response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Sep 14, 1999</u> has been considered with the following effect, deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X	The pro	pposed amendment(s):
	☐ will	be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	X will	not be entered because:
	X	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOT	E: <u>The recitations of an ice particle size upon recrystallization of less than 20um as measured in accordance</u> with example V, and of AFP Type III HPLC 12 raises new issues.
	Newly separa	proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a te, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
X	for allo	fidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition owance because: eclarations are unsigned.
		fidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by aminer in the final rejection.
X	For pu	rposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	•	allowed:
		objected to:
		rejected: 1, 2, and 4-10
	The pr	oposed drawing correction filed on has has not been approved by the Examiner.
X	Note the	he attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)7
X		The supplemental information disclosure statement of March 23, 1998 was considered and a copy was mailed to applicants with the First Action dated June 24, 1998. However, applicants apparently did not receive the copy. Another copy is mailed herewith. CYNTHIA L. NESSLER PRIMARY EXAMINER